IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH JUDICATURE AT

BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 818 /2002

PETITIONERS :-

1. Harish Kumar Jha
son of Shri S.M.Jha,
Resident of Bandhawapara,
Purani Basti, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 818/2
Presented by Shri Ramakant Singh
dated 19.8.02

2. Puneet Ram Pal
Son of Shri Firtu Ram ,
Resident of 253, Sikshak C
Colony, Dangania, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

3. Santosh Kumar Kashayap,
son of Shri Ram Singh Kashyap
Village and Post Birgudi
Tahsil Nagari, District
Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh.

4. Arun Sahu,
Son of Shri Harish Kumar Sahu
E/107, Samta Colony,
Near Krishna Talkies, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

5. Dharmendra Kumar Sahu,
son of Shri Daya Ram Sahu,
R/o Mangal Bazar, Gudihari,
Raipur Chhattisgarh.

6. Raja Ram Sahu
Son of Narayan Prasad Sahu,
Ward No.10, Kabir Kutir
Opp.Gali No.2 Bemetara,
Durg Chhattisgarh.

7. Pankaj Kumar Sharma
son of Shri Ram Kumar Sharma
Resident of Kushalpur, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

8. Santosh Kumar Kannouje
son of Shri Johan Lal Kannauje,
Resident of Purani Basti,
Khokhopara, Raipur Chhattisgarh.

9. Bharat Kumar Rane
son of Shri D.R. Rane,
R/o Rajendra Nagar, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

10. Atul Kumar Jha
son of Late Shri D.C.Jha,
R/o Bandhuwa Para, Purani Basti,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Dangania, Raipur,
THROUGH Managing Director,
C.S.E.B., Raipur.

2. Secretary,
Chhattisgarh State Elstricity Board, Dangania, Raipur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/ 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Respondent No.3- Virendra Kumar Matharia,
S/o. Vidhyarthi Lal,
Resident of Quarter No.3/B,
Street No. - 23, Sector-1,
Bhilai, Distt. Durg (C.G.).

Respondent No.4- Sunil Kumar,
S/o. Shri Moti Lal,
R/o. Holkar Paan Thela Ke
Samne, Titurdih, Durg,
Distt. Durg (C.G.).

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(17)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P-No. 818/2002

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

22-8-2003

Shri Prashant Mishra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Abhisek Sinha, Advocate for the respondents No. 1 and 2.

Shri I.S. Sahu, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Heard.

By this petition, the petitioners submit that they are apprentices and they have completed the training and entitled for preference in the matter of appointments. It is submitted that the Chhattisgarh I.T.I. Prashikshit Berojgar Sangh had submitted a representation vide annexures A/9. Representations vide Annexures A/6 to A/8 were also submitted to the Board and other authorities. It is submitted that the petitioners have not submitted individual representations. It is submitted that the representations have not been considered. Shri Mishra Placed reliance on U.P.State Road Transport Corporation and another –v- U.P. Parivahan Nigam Shishukhs Berozgar Sangh and others reported in |(1995) 2 SCC 1| (*also reported in AIR 1995 SC 1115*) and in State of U.P. –v- Ishwarkant Bihari (2001 V-10 SCC 694).

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court ends of justice will be served

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

171

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

if each petitioner is permitted to make detailed representation individually alongwith a copy of this petition 10 days from today before the competent authority and on such representation being filed, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority as early as possible preferably within six ~~months~~ 3 months from the date of receipt of representations in accordance with law. If any adverse order is passed, the petitioners may avail remedy available under the law.

With the direction aforesaid, this petition is disposed of.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge